

THE THOMAS FIRE

A Planetary Health Case Study



JACKLYN VO
ENVS 193PH | SPRING 2021



Santa Barbara & Ventura Counties



Key Drivers of Wildfires

& planetary health impacts

Anthropogenic Climate Change

Human industrial activities

Consumerism mentality

Extreme weather patterns

More frequent heat waves

Drier temperatures & vegetation

Lack of climate planning

Fire suppression

Lack of indigenous practices

Energy grid and infrastructure

Wildfire Impacts on *Human & Environmental Health*

Human

Infrastructural damage

Physical burns

Respiratory health

Mental health

Environment

Wildlife degradation

Vegetation loss

Water pollution

Greenhouse gas emissions

Scientific Literature *on Wildfire Smoke Effects*

Inhalation of wildfire smoke, specifically PM_{2.5}, is associated with **negative pulmonary & cardiovascular health effects**.¹

- Unhealthy air quality for sensitive groups = 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ²
- 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in wildfire-specific PM_{2.5} is linked to a 1.3–10% increase in respiratory hospitalizations³
- 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{2.5} concentrations corresponds with a 4% increase in salbutamol dispensations during fire season⁴

Solutions

to wildfire mitigation & pulmonary health impacts

Expand climate
mitigation efforts

Improve natural
disaster responses

Update old
infrastructure

Proactive fire
management

Increase community
resilience

THANK YOU!

References

1. Reid, C. E., Brauer, M., Johnston, F. H., Jerrett, M., Balmes, J. R., & Elliott, C. T. (2016). Critical review of health impacts of wildfire smoke exposure. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 124(9), 1334–1343. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409277>.
2. United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2016, April 19). Particulate matter (PM) basics. US EPA. <https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/particulate-matter-pm-basics.s>
3. Aguilera, R., Corringham, T., Gershunov, A., & Benmarhnia, T. (2021). Wildfire smoke impacts respiratory health more than fine particles from other sources: Observational evidence from Southern California. *Nature Communications*, 12(1), 1493. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21708-0>
4. Yao, J., Eyamie, J., & Henderson, S. B. (2016). Evaluation of a spatially resolved forest fire smoke model for population-based epidemiologic exposure assessment. *Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology*, 26(3), 233–240. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2014.67>.